

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/618,118 | 07/11/2003 | Pierluigi Pugliese | Pugliese 31 | 4099 |
| | 7590 01/22/200 ON & LEWIS, LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 90 FOREST A | VENUE | HICKS, MICHAEL J | | |
| LOCUST VAL | LEY, NY 11560 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2165 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/22/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|---------------------|--|
| 10/618,118 | PUGLIESE, PIERLUIGI | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Michael J. Hicks | 2165 | |

| | Michael J. Hicks | 2165 | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one or the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply re-other by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belor (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett application. | nsideration and/or search (see NO) w); | ΓE below); | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE:(See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. 5 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. \(\bigcirc \) per purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [\bigcirc \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: \(\frac{22-24.26-29.32-36 \) and \(\frac{38-40}{20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-2 | | I be entered and an e: | xplanation of | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| | /Neveen Abel-Jalil/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 2165 | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner maintains the position set forth in the Final Office Action dated to 10/24/2008 which indicates that the displaying of the new menu structure to the user in peicermeal fashion, as taught by Debevc, reads upon the claim limitations. Examiner further notes that the claim limitations do not specifically indicate that the entirety of the new menu structure is displayed, and as such an indication of displaying any portion of the new menu structure meets the claim limitations.